

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/820,531 | WANG, EUGENIA | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Bradley L. Sisson | 1634 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: 080

 (1) Bradley L. Sisson.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mandy V. Wilson, Reg. No. 53,781.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 September 2005
Time: 1725
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Sisson contacted Ms. Wilson about the illegibility of page 2 of the facsimile transmission of 22 August 2005. Ms. Wilson indicated that the document will be retransmitted the following day.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of 13. Other: Page 2 of the facsimile transmission is illegible and as a result the response of 22 August 2005 cannot be fully considered. Applicant's representative has indicated agreement to resubmit the documents via facsimile transmission.